

Application No: 14/2254M

Location: 2, MEDDINGS CLOSE, ALDERLEY EDGE, WILMSLOW, CHESHIRE, SK9 7XA

Proposal: Single storey side and rear extensions and pitched roof to existing flat roof

Applicant: J Williamson

Expiry Date: 09-Jul-2014

**Date Report Prepared:** 20 June 2014

#### **SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION**

APPROVE subject to conditions

#### **MAIN ISSUES**

- Design/ Scale
- Impact on neighbouring amenity

#### **REASON FOR REPORT**

The applicant is an employee of Cheshire East Borough Council.

As such, the application is to be determined by the Southern Planning Committee.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF SITE AND CONTEXT**

The application site relates to a relatively large two storey dwelling located within a predominantly residential area, as defined by the Macclesfield Borough Local Plan. The area is characterised by predominantly detached two storey dwellings of a variety of architectural styles, with spacious plots and open frontages. The site lies adjacent to, but not within, a large field that lies within the Green Belt.

#### **DETAILS OF PROPOSAL**

The proposals are for the demolition of the existing rear conservatory and erection of 2no single storey rear extensions, formation of pitched roof over existing flat roofed garage, front entrance canopy and alterations to existing windows on the front, side and rear elevations. The property is also to be rendered. It has not been detailed in the application form what colour or nature the render is proposed to take. An alternative application has also been submitted under reference 14/2275M which will also go to the Southern Committee.

## **Planning History**

None.

## **POLICIES**

### **Macclesfield Borough Local Plan – Saved Policies**

BE1- Design Guidance  
DC1- New Build  
DC2- Extensions and Alterations  
DC3- Amenity  
DC35- Materials and Finishes  
DC38- Space, Light and Privacy

### **Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy – Submission Version**

Paragraph 216 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that, unless other material considerations indicate otherwise, decision-takers may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to:

- The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
- The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the NPPF (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).

In view of the level of consultation already afforded to the plan-making process, together with the degree of consistency with national planning guidance, it is appropriate to attach enhanced weight to the Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy - Submission Version in the decision-making process.

At its meeting on the 28<sup>th</sup> February 2014, the Council resolved to approve the *Cheshire East Local Plan Strategy – Submission Version* for publication and submission to the Secretary of State. It was also resolved that this document be given weight as a material consideration for Development Management purposes with immediate effect.

The relevant policies are as follows:

MP1 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development  
PG2 – Settlement Hierarchy  
SD1 – Sustainable Development in Cheshire East  
SD2 – Sustainable Development Principles

SE1 – Design  
SE2 – Efficient Use of Land  
SE4 – The Landscape

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are to be applied.

The National Planning Policy Framework reinforces the system of statutory development plans. When considering the weight to be attached to development plan policies, paragraphs 214 and 215 enable 'full weight' to be given to Development Plan policies adopted under the 2004 Act. The Crewe and Nantwich Local Plan policies, although saved in accordance with the 2004 Act are not adopted under it. Consequently, following the guidance in paragraph 215, *"due weight should be given to relevant policies in existing plans according to their degree of consistency with this framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the framework, the greater the weight that may be given)"*.

The Local Plan policies outlined below are all consistent with the NPPF and should therefore be given full weight.

### **Other Material Considerations**

Ministerial Statement – Planning for Growth  
National Planning Policy Framework  
Planning Practice Guidance

### **CONSULTATIONS (External to Planning)**

None.

### **VIEWS OF THE PARISH COUNCIL**

Alderley Edge Parish Council- No Objection.

### **OTHER REPRESENTATIONS**

None.

### **Design/ Character and Appearance**

Local Plan policies BE1 and DC1 address matters of design and appearance. Policy BE1 states that the Council will promote high standards of design and new development should reflect local character, use appropriate materials and respect form, layout, siting, scale and design of surrounding buildings and their setting. Policy DC1 states that the overall scale, density, height, mass and materials of new development must normally be sympathetic to the character of the local environment, street scene, adjoining buildings and the site itself. The National Planning Policy Framework also notes that "good design is a key aspect of sustainable development".

The proposed pitched roof and front canopy is considered to improve the character and appearance of the dwelling. It is noted that other properties on the street have had similar pitched roofs approved and built. The demolition of the existing conservatory and erection of the 2no single storey rear extensions, and alterations to windows are also considered to be acceptable in design terms, would not result in the overdevelopment of the site and would be in keeping with the existing and surrounding vernacular.

It is noted that other properties in the relatively close vicinity such as on Downesway have recently been approved to fully render the house, and that some properties on Meddings Close are partially rendered. Subject to the colour and type of render proposed, which can be controlled via condition, this aspect of the proposal is considered to be acceptable and would not adversely impact on the character and appearance of the street scene.

Subject to this, overall the proposed development is considered to be acceptable in design terms, in accordance with policies BE1, DC1, DC2, DC35 and the NPPF.

### **Amenity**

Local Plan policies DC3 and DC38 seek to protect the amenity of residential occupiers. Policy DC3 states that development should not significantly injure the amenities of adjoining or nearby residential property due to matters such as loss of privacy, overbearing effect, loss of sunlight and daylight and traffic generation and car parking. Policy DC38 sets out guidelines for space between buildings.

The rear extension to replace the conservatory is considered to be of a sufficient distance away from the neighbouring property to the side and rear, at 12m and 18m respectively, and would in any case be screened by boundary hedging and trees to the side and rear boundaries. It is also considered that this element of the proposals could potentially constitute permitted development.

The other proposed single storey rear extension would project 3.5m from the rear of the existing garage. This extension is not considered to materially harm the amenities of the neighbouring property in terms of overbearing impact, overlooking or loss of light to the windows of this property, which has no side facing windows.

The pitched roof would not adversely impact on neighbouring no 1 Meddings Close, which has no side windows to habitable rooms.

Overall, a commensurate degree of space, light and privacy would remain to all neighbouring properties and the development would accord with policies DC3, DC38 and the NPPF.

### **CONCLUSIONS AND REASON(S) FOR THE DECISION**

To conclude, it is considered that planning permission should be granted for the proposed development as the proposals accord with policies BE1 Design Guidance, DC1 New Build, DC2 Extensions, DC3 Amenity, DC35 Materials and Finishes, DC38 Space, Light and Privacy of the Macclesfield Local Plan 2004 and guidance within The Framework.

In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions / informatives / planning obligations or reasons for approval/refusal) prior to the decision being issued, the Planning and Place Shaping Manager has delegated authority to do so in consultation with the Chairman of the Southern Planning Committee, provided that the changes do not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

### **Approve subject to the following conditions**

- 1) Commencement of development (3 years)
- 2) Development in accord with approved plans
- 3) Materials as application
- 4) Details of render to be submitted prior to commencement

Application for Householder

RECOMMENDATION: Approve subject to following conditions

1. A01AP - Development in accord with approved plans
2. A03FP - Commencement of development (3 years)
3. A06EX - Materials as application
4. Details of render prior to the commencement of development

(c) Crown copyright and database rights 2014. Ordnance Survey 100049045, 100049046.

